



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
DIEGO AGUILAR CEJA,
Defendant.

Case No. 2:24-MJ-02186-DUTY

ORDER OF DETENTION

I.

The Court conducted a detention hearing:

- ☐ On motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case:
allegedly involving:
- () a crime of violence.
 - () an offense with a maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
 - () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with the maximum sentence of ten or more years.

() any felony – where defendant convicted of two or more prior offenses described above.

() any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor victim, or possession of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.

☒ On motion by the Government or on the Court's own motion [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving, on further allegation by the Government of:

(x) a serious risk that defendant will flee.

() a serious risk that the defendant will () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice; () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or juror, or attempt to do so.

☐ The Court concludes that the Government is entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)].

II.

☒ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: ☒ the appearance of the defendant as required.

☒ the safety of any person or the community.

☐ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

III.

The Court has considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments, the arguments of counsel, and the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency.

IV.

The Court bases its conclusions on the following:

☒ As to risk of non-appearance:

Unverified background; no available bail resources; no stable employment or residence; no legal immigration status and prior removals

☒ As to danger to the community:

Nature of allegations; criminal history; history of drug use

V.

VI.

Dated: April 15, 2024

Rozella A. Oliver
HON. ROZELLA A. OLIVER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE